



Relative Spaces as a global concept for Topology

Dieter Leseberg

Ernst Reuter Gesellschaft Berlin, Germany

Abstract. In the past several mathematical concepts were introduced and studied for describing structures of a topological kind. Among them we mention here the strong topological universe of preuniform convergence spaces in the sense of Preuss, which enables to simultaneously express "topological" and "uniform" aspects. The introduced concept of set-convergences by Wyler considers the convergence of filters to bounded subsets, and therefore it generalizes the well-known classical point-convergences as well as the supertopologies in the sense of Doitchinóv. Nearness, defined by Herrlich contains in particular contiguities and proximities by studying the internal relationship of sets which are being near in some special sense. At last we still mention the concept of so-called b-topological spaces in which hull-operators are defined on bounded subsets of a carrier set generalizing topological closures in a natural way. Now, the question raises whether there exists a suitable concept for a common study of all former cited structures? At this point we introduce the basics of so-called relative spaces, shortly RELspaces with its corresponding relative maps, shortly RELmaps between them. Hence it is possible to embed the mentioned categories into REL, the category of RELspaces and RELmaps, respectively. Now, this fact of unification being established we turn us towards the study of the relationship between suitable RELspaces and the corresponding strict symmetric topological extensions. Thus, our new presented connection generalizes those one which were studied by Bentley, Ivanova and Ivanov, Lodato and Smirnov, respectively.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 54A05, 54A20, 54B80, 54D10, 54D35, 54D80, 54E05, 54E15, 54E17

Key Words and Phrases: Uniform convergence, set-convergence, nearness, closure, b-topology, topological extension, bounded topology, relative space

1. Introduction

Fundamental concepts for describing structures of a *topological* kind can be *essentially* summarized by the following constructs, i.e.

by the UNIFORM CONVERGENCE with the containing concepts of *uniformities* and *point-convergences*, respectively (*see* Preuß, Kent);

by the SET-CONVERGENCE with the containing concepts of *point-convergences* and *neighborhoods* or *supertopologies*, respectively (*see* Tozzi, Wyler, Doitchinóv);

Email address: leseberg@zedat.fu-berlin.de

by the NEARNESS with the containing concepts of contiguities and proximities, respectively (see Ivanova + Bentley + Herrlich + Lodato);

but last not least by the HULLNESS with the containing concepts of *closures* and *b-topologies*, respectively (see Čech + Kuratowski + Leseberg).

Here, we should note that the above mentioned drafts *differs* from each other and if possible only *coincide* in some *special* cases. But as next we will introduce a *common* concept in which *all* the former mentioned species then can be described as *special* parts.

2. Unification

We call a triple (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r) consisting of a set X , *boundedness* \mathcal{B}^X and a *Relative-operator*, shortly *RELOp* $r : \mathcal{B}^X \rightarrow \underline{P}(\text{REL}(X))$ *RELative space*, shortly *RELspace* provided r satisfies the following conditions, i.e.

(relop₁) $B \in \mathcal{B}^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathcal{R}_1 \ll \mathcal{R} \in r(B)$ imply $\mathcal{R}_1 \in r(B)$;

(relop₂) $B \in \mathcal{B}^X$ implies $\{\emptyset\} \notin r(B)$;

(relop₃) $\mathcal{R} \in r(\emptyset)$ iff $\mathcal{R} = \emptyset$;

(relop₄) $x \in X$ implies $\{\{x\} \times \{x\}\} \in r(\{x\})$.

Here, $\mathcal{B}^X \subset \underline{P}X$ (power set of X) denotes a *collection* of *bounded* subsets of X , known as *B-set* or *boundedness* on X , respectively, i.e. \mathcal{B}^X has the following properties:

(b₀) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}^X$;

(b₁) $B_1 \subset B \in \mathcal{B}^X$ imply $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}^X$;

(b₂) $x \in X$ implies $\{x\} \in \mathcal{B}^X$.

$\text{REL}(X)$ denotes the set of all *Relative-systems* $\mathcal{R} \subset \underline{P}(X \times X)$, shortly *RELsystems* (for X), *ordered* by setting: $\mathcal{R}_1 \ll \mathcal{R}$ iff $\forall R_1 \in \mathcal{R}_1 \exists R \in \mathcal{R} R_1 \supset R$. For $B \in \mathcal{B}^X, \mathcal{R} \in r(B)$ then is called *B-RELsystem* (for r). Moreover, for $\rho \subset \underline{P}X$ $\rho \times \rho$ is defined by $\rho \times \rho := \{F \times F : F \in \rho\}$, where $F \times F := \{(x, z) \in X \times X : x, z \in F\}$.

For *RELspaces* $(X, \mathcal{B}^X, r), (Y, \mathcal{B}^Y, s)$ a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *RELative-map*, shortly *RELmap*, provided f satisfies the following conditions, i.e.

(rmap₁) $B \in \mathcal{B}^X$ implies $f[B] \in \mathcal{B}^Y$, which means f is *bounded*;

(rmap₂) $B \in \mathcal{B}^X$ and $\mathcal{R} \in r(B)$ imply $f^\times \mathcal{R} \in s(f[B])$, where $f^\times \mathcal{R} := \{(f \times f)[R] : R \in \mathcal{R}\}$ with $(f \times f)[R] := \{(f \times f)(x, z) : (x, z) \in R\} = \{(f(x), f(z)) : (x, z) \in R\}$.

Now, by **REL** we denote the topological construct, whose *objects* are the *RELspaces* and as *morphisms* the *RELmaps* between them. Additionally, we point out that each *RELspace* (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r) has an *underlying hull-operator* "*cl_r*" defined by $cl_r(\emptyset) := \emptyset$ and $cl_r(A) := \{x \in X : \exists \mathcal{R} \in r(\{x\}) A \times A \in \mathcal{R}\}$, if $A \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 1. *The strong topological universe **PUCONV** of preuniform convergence spaces and uniformly continuous functions is isomorphic to a suitable subcategory of **REL**.*

Proof. The embedding of **PUCONV** into **REL** can be described as follows: For a given preuniform convergence space (X, J_X) we define the *associated* RELspace $(X, \underline{P}X, r_{J_X})$ by setting:

$$r_{J_X}(\emptyset) := \{\emptyset\} \text{ and}$$

$$r_{J_X}(B) := \{\mathcal{R} \in REL(X) : \exists \mathcal{U} \in J_X \mathcal{R} \subset \text{sec} \mathcal{U}\} \text{ for each } B \in \underline{P}X \setminus \{\emptyset\}.$$

Here, $\text{sec} \mathcal{U} := \{R \subset X \times X : \forall U \in \mathcal{U} R \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$.

Theorem 2. *The topological construct **SETCONV** of set-convergence spaces and b-continuous maps is isomorphic to a suitable subcategory of **REL**.*

Proof. For a set-convergence space (X, \mathcal{B}^X, q) let us consider the RELop $r_q : \mathcal{B}^X \rightarrow \underline{P}(REL(X))$ defined by setting: $r_q(\emptyset) := \{\emptyset\}$ and $r_q(B) := \{\mathcal{R} \in REL(X) : \exists \mathcal{F} \in FIL(X)((\mathcal{F}, B) \in q \text{ and } \mathcal{R} \subset \text{sec} \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F})\}$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, where in *general* for filters $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F} \in FIL(X)$ $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}$ is defined by $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F} := \{R \subset X \times X : \exists F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1 \exists F \in \mathcal{F} R \supset F_1 \times F\}$.

Theorem 3. *The topological construct **PNEAR** of pre-nearness spaces and n-maps is isomorphic to a suitable full subcategory of **REL**.*

Proof. We construct the embedding of **PNEAR** into **REL** as follows: For a given pre-nearness space (X, ξ) we define the *associated* RELspace $(X, \underline{P}X, r_\xi)$ by setting:

$$r_\xi(\emptyset) := \{\emptyset\} \text{ and}$$

$$r_\xi(B) := \{\mathcal{R} \in REL(X) : \exists \mathcal{M} \subset \underline{P}X(\{B\} \cup \mathcal{M} \in \xi \text{ and } \mathcal{R} \ll \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M})\} \text{ for each } B \in \underline{P}X \setminus \{\emptyset\}.$$

As next, we will consider RELspaces (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r) with *underlying* bornology \mathcal{B}^X . Note, that $\underline{P}X$ defines *itself* a bornology, and the set of *finite* sets of X , the set of *compact sets* of X or the set of *total bounded* sets of X defines bornologies on X , too. Now, if given a bornology \mathcal{B}^X and a fixed point $x \in X$, then we can define a hull-operator $h^x : \mathcal{B}^X \rightarrow \underline{P}X$ by setting: $h^x(\emptyset) := \emptyset$ and $h^x(B) := \{x\} \cup B$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Then h^x satisfies the axioms for being a so-called *b-topology* t (on \mathcal{B}^X), i.e.

$$(b\text{-top}_1) \ B \in \mathcal{B}^X \text{ implies } t(B) \in \mathcal{B}^X;$$

$$(b\text{-top}_2) \ B \in \mathcal{B}^X \text{ implies } B \subset t(B);$$

$$(b\text{-top}_3) \ t(\emptyset) = \emptyset;$$

$$(b\text{-top}_4) \ B_1 \subset B \in \mathcal{B}^X \text{ imply } t(B_1) \subset t(B);$$

(b-top₅) $B \in \mathcal{B}^X$ implies $t(t(B)) \subset t(B)$;

(b-top₆) $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}^X$ imply $t(B_1 \cup B_2) \subset t(B_1) \cup t(B_2)$.

Then the triple (X, \mathcal{B}^X, t) is called *b-topological space*. Note, that in case if \mathcal{B}^X is *saturated*, which means $X \in \mathcal{B}^X$, hence \mathcal{B}^X equals $\underline{P}X$, t is defining a *Kuratowski closure operator* on $\underline{P}X$. *b-continuous* maps between b-topological spaces then can be defined in an *obvious* way.

Theorem 4. *The construct **b-TOP** of b-topological spaces and b-continuous maps is isomorphic to a suitable full subcategory of **REL**.*

Proof. For a b-topological space (X, \mathcal{B}^X, t) let us consider the RELspace (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r_t) , where r_t is defined by setting:

$$r_t(\emptyset) := \{\emptyset\} \text{ and}$$

$$r_t(B) := \{\mathcal{R} \in REL(X) : \exists \rho \subset \mathcal{B}^X \exists x \in B(\mathcal{R} \ll \rho \times \rho \text{ and } x \in \bigcap \{t(F) : F \in \rho\})\}$$

for each $B \in \mathcal{B}^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

3. Extension

Now, an *important* investigated problem in "global topology" is that of considering *topological extensions* of *suitable basic* structures. Here, we should mention the *earlier* studies of Banaschewski, Bentley, Doitchinóv, Herrlich, Hušek, Ivanova, Ivanov, Lodato, Smirnov and others.

Definition 1. *By adjusting the fundamental definition of a topological extension to our general concept of "Bounded Topology" we introduce the category **BTEXT**, whose objects are triples (e, \mathcal{B}^X, Y) – called b-topological extensions – where \mathcal{B}^X is \underline{B} -set, $X := (X, t_X)$ and $Y := (Y, t_Y)$ are topological spaces, given by closure operators, and $e : X \rightarrow Y$ is function such that the following properties are satisfied, i.e.*

(btex₁) $\{t_Y(e[A]) : A \subset X\}$ forms a base for the closed subsets of Y ;

(btex₂) $t_Y(e[X]) = Y$, which means that the image of X under e is dense in Y ;

(btex₃) $x \in X$ and $y \in t_Y(\{e(x)\})$ imply $e(x) \in t_Y(\{y\})$, point-symmetry of t_Y with respect to e ;

(btex₄) $A \in \underline{P}X$ implies $t_X(A) = e^{-1}[t_Y(e[A])]$, where e^{-1} denotes the inverse image of e .

Morphisms in **BTEXT** have the form $(f, g) : (e, \mathcal{B}^X, Y) \longrightarrow (e', \mathcal{B}^{X'}, Y')$, where $f : X \longrightarrow X'$ and $g : Y \longrightarrow Y'$ are continuous maps such that f is bounded, and the following diagram commutes, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e} & Y \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ X' & \xrightarrow{e'} & Y' \end{array} .$$

If $(f, g) : (e, \mathcal{B}^X, Y) \longrightarrow (e', \mathcal{B}^{X'}, Y')$ and $(f', g') : (e', \mathcal{B}^{X'}, Y') \longrightarrow (e'', \mathcal{B}^{X''}, Y'')$ are **BTEXT**-morphisms, then they can be composed according to the rule:

$$(f', g') \diamond (f, g) := (f' \circ f, g' \circ g) : (e, \mathcal{B}^X, Y) \longrightarrow (e'', \mathcal{B}^{X''}, Y''),$$

where " \circ " denotes the composition of maps. Further, we note that in the case if \mathcal{B}^X is saturated the above definition coincide with the usual one of a strict topological extension in "classical topology". Observe too, that the axiom $(btex_4)$ in this definition is automatically satisfied if $e : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a topological embedding.

Lemma 1. Let (e, \mathcal{B}^X, Y) be a b -topological extension, then we can immediately associate the RELspace (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r_e) , where r_e is defined by setting:

$$r_e(\emptyset) := \{\emptyset\} \text{ and}$$

$$r_e(B) := \{\mathcal{R} \in REL(X) : \exists \mathcal{M} \subset \underline{P}X (\mathcal{R} \ll \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \text{ and } \bigcap \{t_Y(e[A]) : A \in \mathcal{M} \cup \{B\}\} \neq \emptyset)\} \text{ for each } B \in \mathcal{B}^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}.$$

Moreover, we are getting the equality $cl_{r_e}(A) = t_X(A)$ for each $A \in \underline{P}X$.

Corollary 1. The RELspace (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r_e) satisfies in addition the conditions for being a nearformic CLANRELspace, which concretely means that r_e possesses the following properties, i.e.

$$(relop_5) \emptyset \neq B_1 \subset B \in \mathcal{B}^X \text{ imply } r(B_1) \subset r(B);$$

$$(relop_6) \mathcal{R} \in r(B), B \in \mathcal{B}^X \setminus \{\emptyset\} \text{ imply the existence of } \rho \subset \underline{P}X \text{ with } \mathcal{R} \ll \rho \times \rho \in r(B);$$

$$(relop_7) \rho \subset \underline{P}X \text{ and } cl_r \rho \times cl_r \rho \in r(B), B \in \mathcal{B}^X \setminus \{\emptyset\} \text{ imply } \rho \times \rho \in r(B), \text{ where } cl_r \rho := \{cl_r(F) : F \in \rho\};$$

$$(relop_8) \rho_1, \rho_2 \subset \underline{P}X \text{ and } (\rho_1 \vee \rho_2) \times (\rho_1 \vee \rho_2) \in r(B), B \in \mathcal{B}^X \setminus \{\emptyset\} \text{ imply } \rho_1 \times \rho_1 \in r(B) \text{ or } \rho_2 \times \rho_2 \in r(B), \text{ where } \rho_1 \vee \rho_2 := \{F_1 \cup F_2 : F_1 \in \rho_1, F_2 \in \rho_2\};$$

$$(cla) \mathcal{R} \in r(B), B \in \mathcal{B}^X \setminus \{\emptyset\} \text{ imply the existence of a } B\text{-RELclan } \mathcal{C} \text{ in } r \text{ with } \mathcal{R} \ll \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C};$$

$$(n) \mathcal{M} \subset \underline{P}X \text{ and } \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \in r(B), B \in \mathcal{B}^X \setminus \{\emptyset\} \text{ imply } (\{B\} \cup \mathcal{M}) \times (\{B\} \cup \mathcal{M}) \in \bigcap \{r(D) : D \in \{B\} \cup (\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{B}^X)\}.$$

Remark 1. Here, for $B \in \mathcal{B}^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, $\mathcal{C} \subset \underline{P}X$ is called *B-RELclan* (in r) provided the following conditions are satisfied, i.e.

- (rcla₁) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{C}$;
- (rcla₂) $D_1 \cup D_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ iff $D_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ or $D_2 \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (rcla₃) $B \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (rcla₄) $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \in r(B)$;
- (rcla₅) $cl_r(D) \in \mathcal{C}, D \in \underline{P}X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ imply $D \in \mathcal{C}$.

We point out that for each $B \in \mathcal{B}^X$ with $x \in B$ $x_r := \{D \in \underline{P}X : x \in cl_r(D)\}$ is *B-RELclan* in r .

Further we denote by **N-CLANREL** the full subcategory of **REL**, whose objects are the nearformic *CLANREL*spaces.

Theorem 5. Let $F: \mathbf{BTEXT} \rightarrow \mathbf{N-CLANREL}$ be defined by:

- (1) For a **BTEXT**-object (e, \mathcal{B}^X, Y) we put $F(e, \mathcal{B}^X, Y) := (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r_e)$;
- (2) for a **BTEXT**-morphism $(f, g) : (e, \mathcal{B}^X, Y) \rightarrow (e', \mathcal{B}^{X'}, Y')$ we put $F(f, g) := f$.

Then $F: \mathbf{BTEXT} \rightarrow \mathbf{N-CLANREL}$ is a functor.

Now, this former being established we are going to introduce a related functor in the opposite direction.

Theorem 6. We obtain a functor $G: \mathbf{N-CLANREL} \rightarrow \mathbf{BTEXT}$ by setting:

- (i) $G(X, \mathcal{B}^X, r) := (e_X, \mathcal{B}^X, X^C)$ for any nearformic *CLANREL*space (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r) with $X := (X, cl_r)$ and $X^C := (X^C, t_{X^C})$, where $X^C := \{\mathcal{C} \subset \underline{P}X : \mathcal{C} \text{ is B-RELclan in } r \text{ for some } B \in \mathcal{B}^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}\}$, and for each $A^C \subset X^C$ we put:

$$t_{X^C}(A^C) := \{\mathcal{C} \in X^C : \Delta A^C \subset \mathcal{C}\} \text{ with } \Delta A^C := \{F \in \underline{P}X : \forall \mathcal{C} \in A^C F \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

(By convention $\Delta A^C = \underline{P}X$ if $A^C = \emptyset$). $e_X : X \rightarrow X^C$ denotes that function which assigns the $\{x\}$ -RELclan x_r to each $x \in X$.

- (ii) $G(f) := (f, f^C)$ for any *REL*map $f : (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{B}^Y, s)$, where $f^C : X^C \rightarrow Y^C$ is the function defined by setting:

$$f^C(\mathcal{C}) := \{\mathcal{D} \in \underline{P}Y : f^{-1}[cl_s(\mathcal{D})] \in \mathcal{C}\} \text{ for each } \mathcal{C} \in X^C.$$

Theorem 7. Let $F : \mathbf{BTEXT} \rightarrow \mathbf{N-CLANREL}$ and $G : \mathbf{N-CLANREL} \rightarrow \mathbf{BTEXT}$ be the above defined functors.

For each object (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r) of **N-CLANREL** let $n_{(X, \mathcal{B}^X, r)}$ denote the identity map $id_X : F(G(X, \mathcal{B}^X, r)) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r)$. Then $n : F \circ G \rightarrow \underline{1}_{\mathbf{N-CLANREL}}$ is a natural equivalence from $F \circ G$ to the identity functor $\underline{1}_{\mathbf{N-CLANREL}}$, i.e.

$$id_X : F(G(X, \mathcal{B}^X, r)) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r)$$

is *RELmap* in both directions for each object (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r) , and the following diagram commutes for each *RELmap* $f : (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{B}^Y, s)$, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(G(X, \mathcal{B}^X, r)) & \xrightarrow{id_X} & (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r) \\ F(G(f)) \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ F(G(Y, \mathcal{B}^Y, s)) & \xrightarrow{id_Y} & (Y, \mathcal{B}^Y, s) \end{array}$$

Corollary 2. For a *b-topological* T_1 -extension (e, \mathcal{B}^X, Y) , where in addition (Y, t_Y) is T_1 -space, then the associated *RELspace* (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r_e) is separated by satisfying the following condition, i.e.

(sep) $x, z \in X$ and $\{\{z\} \times \{z\}\} \in r(\{x\})$ imply $x = z$.

Corollary 3. For a separated nearformic *CLANRELspace* (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r) the function $e_X : X \rightarrow X^C$ is injective.

Remark 2. As a short résumé of Theorem 7 we now can state that each nearformic *CLANRELspace* (X, \mathcal{B}^X, r) can be densely embedded into a topological space Y so that the set of the closures of all sets in X forms a base for the closed subsets of Y . In addition we note that the closures of the members of suitable *B-RELsystems* meet the closure of B in Y . Thus, Doitchinóv's "extension theorem" can be considered as a special part of this theorem. Moreover, in the saturated case the theorem essentially coincide with that of Bentley, presented by him in the past using bunch-determined nearness spaces. Hence, Lodatos' theorem and that of Ivanova also can be dealt with!

References

- [1] Banaschewski, B. Extensions of Topological Spaces. Canadian Math. Bull. 7(1964), 1–23;
- [2] Bentley, H.L. Nearness spaces and extension of topological spaces. In: Studies in Topology, Academic Press, NY (1975), 47–66;
- [3] Čech, E. Topological spaces, revised edition by M. Frolik and M. Katětov, Interscience, London (1960);
- [4] Choquet, G. Convergences. Ann. Univ. Grenoble Sect. math. Phys. (NS) 23, 57–112;
- [5] Doitchinóv, D. Compactly determined extensions of topological spaces. SERDICA Bulgarice Math. Pub. 11(1985), 269–286;
- [6] Fischer, H.R. Limesräume, Math. Ann. 137, 269 – 303;
- [7] Herrlich, H.: A concept of nearness. Gen. Top. Appl. 5(1974), 191–212;

- [8] Hogbe – Nlend, H. Bornologies and functional analysis. Amsterdam, North-Holland Pub. Co(1977);
- [9] Hůšek, M. Categorical connections between generalized proximity spaces and compactifications. In: Contributions to Extension Theory of Topological Structures (Proc. Symp. Berlin 1967) Berlin (1969), 127–132;
- [10] Ivanova, V.M. and Ivanov, A. Contiguity spaces and bicomact extensions. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 127(1959), 20–22;
- [11] Kent, D.C. Convergence function and their related topologies. Fund. Math. 54, 125–133;
- [12] Kuratowski, C. Sur l'opération \bar{A} de l'Analysis Situs. Fund. Math. 3, 182 – 199;
- [13] Leseberg, D. Extensions in Bounded Topology. Math. Appl. 3 (2014), 97 – 113;
- [14] Lodato, M.W. On topologically induced generalized proximity relations II. Pacific Journal of Math. vol. 17, No 1 (1966), 131–135;
- [15] Tozzi, A. Wyler, O., On categories of supertopological spaces. Acta Universitatis Carolinae – Mathematica et Physica 28(2), (1987), 137 – 149;
- [16] Wyler O., On convergence of filters and ultrafilters to subsets. Lecture Notes in Comp. Sci. 393(1988), 340–350.